



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,717	12/10/2001	Koichiro Abe	011650	3617	
23850	7590 03/18/2004	EXAMINER .			
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			MAIORINO, ROZ		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/200-	4) 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/006,717	ABE, KOICHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roz Maiorino	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> . 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,6-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2, 6-8, 10-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 1). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,717

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 6-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.4836373 to Goldman or US Patent No. 5084028 to Kennedy et al. or US Patent No.5469964 to Bailey in view of US Patent No.5183156 Bruno or US Patent No.5067223 to Bruno.

Bailey, Kennedy an Goldman all teach teaches a syringe device with a cap holding section for supposing and holding therein a cap, which covered, a needle position at the extreme end of a syringe barrel. Having a plurality of members having thickness corresponding to cap lengths, and being positioned at an extreme end portion of the cap holding section.

However they do not teach a needle separating section for separating the needle from the barrel. Bruno teaches needle-separating sections.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinarily skill in the art to have combined these inventions because needle separating sections are very common in the art and to combined them would allow one device to be carried instead of having to carry multiple devices which the caregiver could potentially forget.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,717

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12-3-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2. 5-8, 10-11 has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 703-305-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

WHO DESCRIPTION OF THE STREET STREET

STREET STREET STREET STREET

STREET STREET STREET

STREET STREET STREET

STREET STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET STREET

STREET

STREET STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STREET

STR